1	RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 11479 AARIN E. KEVORKIAN Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 14556 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250	
2		
3		
4		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577/Phone	
6	(702) 388-6261/Fax Aarin_Kevorkian@fd.org	
7	Attorney for Luis Cuevas	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		I
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:16-cr-00348-RFB-4
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
13	v.	DEADLINES (First Request)
14	LUIS CUEVAS,	- '
15	Defendant.	
16		1
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Nicholas A	
18	Trutanich, United States Attorney, and Elizabeth O. White, Assistant United	
19	States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Rene L.	
20	Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and Aarin E. Kevorkian, Assistant Federal	
21	Public Defender, counsel for Luis Cuevas, that the following due dates, as	
22	ordered by this Court [ECF. No. 94] be extended.	
23	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the	
24	parties, that the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence under <i>United</i>	
25	States v. Davis, 139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019), which is currently due September 20,	

2019, and the Government's Response, which is currently due October 4, 2019, be stayed pending a final decision in *United States v. Dominguez*, No. 14-10268 (9th Cir.), which is currently scheduled for oral argument on December 10, 2019. However, in light of the one-year deadline to petition for habeas relief under *Davis*, any stay must be lifted in sufficient time to allow Mr. Cuevas to seek relief prior to the June 23, 2020, filing deadline, regardless of the status of *Dominguez*.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence under *Davis* be due on the earlier of 30 days following issuance of a final decision in *Dominguez*, or June 23, 2020; and the Government's Response be due 14 days after the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence is filed.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. United States v. Dominguez is the leading case in the Ninth Circuit to address whether actual and attempted Hobbs Act robbery under 18 U.S.C. § 1951 qualify as a crime of violence under the elements clause of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(3)(a). Counsel anticipate that a final decision in Dominguez may control the issue raised by the Defendant, and therefore, continuing the deadline for the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence will be in the interest of judicial efficiency.
- 2. Counsel for the Defendant needs additional time to make contact with the Defendant who is incarcerated by the Bureau of Prisons at Hazelton USP, where it has been difficult to arrange any confidential legal calls with Defendant and to receive legal mail from Defendant. Without the ability to communicate freely and fully with Defendant, it is, unfortunately, not possible

for defense counsel to comply with the deadlines previously set by the Court in 1 2 this matter. 3. 3 The parties agree to the continuance of the deadlines as set forth 4 herein. 4. This is the first stipulation to continue the deadlines relative to 5 Defendant's Davis motion. 6 7 DATED this 17th day of September, 2019. 8 NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender United States Attorney 9 By: /s/ Aarin E. Kevorkian By: /s/ Elizabeth O. White 10 AARIN E. KEVORKIAN ELIZABETH O. WHITE Assistant Federal Public Defender Assistant United States Attorney 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:16-cr-00348-RFB-4

Plaintiff,

FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

v.

LUIS CUEVAS,

Defendant.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- A final decision in *United States v. Dominguez*, No. 14-10268 (9th 1. Cir.), may control the issue raised by the Defendant, and therefore, continuing the deadline for the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence until after a final decision in *Dominguez* issues will be in the interest of judicial efficiency.
- 2. Counsel for the Defendant needs additional time to make contact with the Defendant who is incarcerated by the Bureau of Prisons at Hazelton USP, where it has been difficult to arrange confidential legal calls with Defendant and to receive legal mail from Defendant. Without the ability to communicate freely and fully with Defendant, it is not possible for defense counsel to comply with the deadlines previously set by the Court in this matter.
- 2. The parties agree to the continuance of the deadlines as set forth herein.
- 3. This is the first stipulation to continue the deadlines relative to Defendant's Davis motion.

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence is due on the earlier of 30 days following the issuance of a final decision in *United States v. Dominguez*, No. 14-10268 (9th Cir.), or June 23, 2020; and the Government's Response is due 14 days after the Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence is filed.

DATED this 17th day of October, 2019, nunc pro tunc.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE